

1 Data Augmentation

1.1 log of an exponential

The exponential with mean λ has density,

$$p(t) = \frac{\exp(-t/\lambda)}{\lambda} dt$$

If we make the transformation $x = \log(t/\lambda)$ then $t = \lambda \exp(x)$ and $dt = \lambda \exp(x) dx$ so the density of x is,

$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda \exp(x)/\lambda)}{\lambda} \lambda \exp(x) dx = \exp(x - \exp(x)) dx$$

1.2 Data augmentation for Poisson regression

For a Poisson process with with expected number of events in unit time μ the intervals between successive events, t , follow an exponential distribution with mean $\lambda = 1/\mu$ and $x = \log(t/\lambda) = \log(\mu t) = \log(\mu) + \log(t)$, the x will have the density,

$$p(x) = \exp(x - \exp(x)) dx$$

If the Poisson regression model has a linear predictor $\log(\mu) = \eta$, then $\log(t) + \eta$ will have a density $\exp(x - \exp(x))$.

Approximating $\exp(x - \exp(x))$ by a single normal distribution would be very inaccurate. So instead we use a mixture distribution based on five normal distributions $N(m_k, v_k)$ $k = 1..5$ with weights w_k . The probability that component k generates an observed time t is $w_k \phi(\log(t) + \eta; m_k, v_k) dt$ where $\phi(x; m, v)$ is the density of a normal distribution with mean m and variance v associated with the observation x . The probability that the observation comes from component k is therefore,

$$\frac{w_k \phi(\log(t) + \eta; m_k, v_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^5 w_j \phi(\log(t) + \eta; m_j, v_j)} \quad k = 1..5$$

We can simulate the component by selecting k with these probabilities.

1.3 A Gibbs Sampler

We have a model for the mean of the i^{th} Poisson count that has a general form,

$$\log(\mu_i) = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{ij}$$

We will generate $y_i + 1$ random times between events and associate them with $y_i + 1$ different components from the 5 component mixture, $r(i, k)$, so that,

$$\log(t_{ik} - \log(\lambda_i)) = \log(t_{ik} + \log(\mu_i)) \sim N(m_{r(i,k)}, v_{r(i,k)}) \quad k = 1..y_i + 1$$

where v is the variance. Assuming that we have normal priors so that $\beta_j \sim N(M_j, V_j)$ then the terms in the log-posterior that include β_j are,

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{y_i+1} \frac{(\log(t_{ik}) + \log(\mu_i) - m_{r(i,k)})^2}{v_{r(i,k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\beta_j - M_j)^2}{V_j}$$

This is quadratic in β_j so the conditional posterior will be normally distributed. If we collect the terms in β_j^2 we obtain,

$$B = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{y_i+1} \frac{x_{ij}^2}{v_{r(i,k)}} + \frac{1}{V_j} \right]$$

and the terms in β_j give

$$A = -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{y_i+1} -\frac{(\log(t_{ik}) + \log(\tilde{\mu}_i) - m_{r(i,k)}) x_{ij}}{v_{r(i,k)}} + \frac{M_j}{V_j}$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_i$ is the linear predictor without the term in β_j . It follows that the posterior for β_j must be,

$$N(A/B, var = 1/B)$$

The updating of the precision estimate for the subject level random effect τ_u is exactly as we had for the non-augmented analysis, that is,

$$G \left(a_u + 29.5, \left[\frac{1}{b_u} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{59} u_i^2 \right]^{-1} \right)$$

Similar calculations for τ_e lead to,

$$G \left(a_e + 118, \left[\frac{1}{b_e} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{59} \sum_{t=1}^4 e_{it}^2 \right]^{-1} \right)$$